# 😋 storebrand

# **Carbon Accounting Report 2021**

### Storebrand Eiendom - Vekst AS

The aim of this report is to get an overview of the organization's greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, which is an integrated part of the company's climate strategy. The carbon accounting is a fundamental tool to assess and identify concrete measures to reduce the energy consumption and corresponding GHG emissions. The annual report enables the organization to benchmark performance indicators like carbon intensity and evaluate progress over time. The report covers 100 % of the Storebrand Eiendom Vekst AS portfolio, consisting of 14 properties totaling 160.970 m2 in 2021, as listed below. The fund invests in properties in Norway only.

- 1. Hoffsveien 1 A
- 2. Hoffsveien 1 B
- 3. Hoffsveien 1 C
- 4. Hoffsveien 1 D
- 5. Hoffsveien 1 E
- 6. Lysaker Torg 5
- 7. Lysaker Torg 15
- 8. Lysaker Torg 25
- 9. Møllergata 24
- 10. Holmen senter I
- 11. Holmen senter II
- 12. Vogellund 31
- 13. Tillertorget
- 14. Ivar Lykkesvei 5

The 2021 accounts include the buildings' tenant emissions from both energy and water consumption as well aswaste production and handling. Tenant energy emissions are allocated in scope 1 and 2. The practice of allocating energy related emissions from tenant spaces in scope 3 is becoming common, and is allowed according to the GHG protocol. This will be considered going forward.

The input data is based on information from both internal and external data sources and then converted into tonnes CO2-equivalents. The analysis is based on the international standard; A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, developed by the Greenhouse Gas Protocol Initiative (GHG protocol). This is the most important standard for measuring greenhouse gas emissions, and was the basis for the ISO standard 14064-I

# CEMAsys.com

## Reporting Year Energy and GHG Emissions

Emission source	Description	Consumption	Unit	Energy (MWh)	Emissions tCO <sub>2</sub> e	% share
Electricity total				20,636.0	639.7	71.6 %
Electricity Nordic mix	Fellesanlegg	7,436,533.0	kWh	7,436.5	230.5	25.8 %
Electricity Nordic mix	Leietakere	13,199,446.0	kWh	13,199.4	409.2	45.8 %
District heating location total				7,552.6	83.8	9.4 %
District heating NO/Oslo		2,737,423.0	kWh	2,737.4	24.9	2.8 %
District heating NO/Lysaker/Fornebu/Lilleaker		2,117,730.0	kWh	2,117.7	18.8	2.1 %
District cooling NO/Sandvika		754,070.0	kWh	754.1	7.4	0.8 %
District heating NO/Trondheim		1,943,392.0	kWh	1,943.4	32.6	3.7 %
Scope 2 total				28,188.6	723.5	81.0 %
Waste total					152.8	17.1 %
Residual waste, incinerated	Usortert	282,119.0	kg	-	141.6	15.9 %
Residual waste, recycled	Sortert	526,661.0	kg	-	11.2	1.3 %
Water total				-	16.8	1.9 %
Water supply, groundwater		29,109.0	m <sup>3</sup>	-	16.8	1.9 %
Scope 3 total					169.6	19.0 %
Total				28,188.6	893.2	100.0 %
ĸj			101	,478,938,400.0		

# CEMAsys.com

### Reporting Year Market-Based GHG Emissions

Category	Unit	2021
Electricity market-based	tCO <sub>2</sub> e	4,808.2
Scope 2 market-based	tCO <sub>2</sub> e	4,892.0
Total market-based	tCO <sub>2</sub> e	5,061.6



### Annual GHG Emissions

Category	Description	2019	2020	2021	% change from previous year
Stationary combustion total		-			-
Burning oil		-	-	-	-
Scope 1 total		-		-	-
Electricity total		878.0	838.9	639.7	-23.7 %
Electricity Nordic mix	Leietakere	549.6	537.9	409.2	-23.9 %
Electricity Nordic mix	Fellesanlegg	328.5	301.0	230.5	-23.4 %
Electricity Nordic mix		-	-	-	-
District heating location total		150.8	116.6	83.8	-28.1 %
District heating NO/Oslo		44.5	32.9	24.9	-24.4 %
District heating NO/Lysaker/Fornebu/Lilleaker		28.7	17.2	18.8	9.7 %
District heating NO/Trondheim		77.5	60.4	32.6	-45.9 %
District cooling NO/Lysaker/Fornebu/Lilleaker		-	6.1	-	-100.0 %
District cooling NO/Sandvika		-	-	7.4	100.0 %
District heating general total		-		-	-
District heating, renewable	Bioolje	-	-	-	-
Scope 2 total		1,028.8	955.5	723.5	-24.3 %
Water total		15.7	8.8	16.8	89.8 %
Water supply, municipal		15.7	8.8	-	-100.0 %
Water supply, groundwater		-	-	16.8	100.0 %
Waste total		231.2	157.7	152.8	-3.1 %
Residual waste, incinerated	Usortert	221.3	145.8	141.6	-2.9 %
Residual waste, recycled	Sortert	9.9	11.9	11.2	-5.5 %
Scope 3 1 total		2.1	2.2	-	5.3 %
Water supply, municipal		2.1	2.2	-	-100.0 %
Scope 3 total		248.9	168.7	169.6	0.5 %
Total		1,277.7	1,124.2	893.2	-20.6 %
Percentage change		100.0 %	-12.0 %	-20.6 %	



### Annual Market-Based GHG Emissions

Category	Unit	2019	2020	2021
Electricity market-based	tCO <sub>2</sub> e	4,592.8	5,381.2	4,808.2
Scope 2 market-based	tCO <sub>2</sub> e	4,743.6	5,497.8	4,892.0
Total market-based	tCO <sub>2</sub> e	4,992.5	5,666.5	5,061.6
Percentage change		100.0 %	13.5 %	-10.7 %



## Annual Key Energy and Climate Performance Indicators

Name	Unit	2019	2020	2021	% change from
					previous year
Total energy scope 1 +2 (MWh)		30,579.2	26,901.8	28,188.6	4.8 %
Sum energy per location (MWh)		30,579.2	26,901.8	28,188.6	4.8 %
Sum square meters (m2)		164,650.0	160,970.0	-	-100.0 %
kgCO2/m2 (Scope1+2)		6.4	5.9	-	-100.0 %
kWh/m2 (Scope1+2)		190.0	167.1	-	-100.0 %
Total eiendom kgCO2e/m2 (Scope1+2+3)		7.9	7.0	-	-100.0 %
Total eiendom kgCO2e/m2 (S1+2+3)		7.9	7.0	-	-100.0 %
Areal	m <sup>2</sup>	160,970.0	160,970.0	-	-100.0 %



### Methodology and sources

#### <u>Methodology</u>

The Greenhouse Gas Protocol initiative (GHG Protocol) was developed by the World Resources Institute (WRI) and World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD). This analysis is done according to *A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard Revised edition*, currently one of four GHG Protocol accounting standards on calculating and reporting GHG emissions. The reporting considers the following greenhouse gases, all converted into CO2-equivalents: CO2, CH4 (methane), N2O (laughing gas), SF6, HFCs, PFCs and NF3.

For corporate reporting, two distinct approaches can be used to consolidate GHG emissions: the equity share approach and the control approach. The most common consolidation approach is the control approach, which can be defined in either financial or operational terms.

The carbon inventory is divided into three main scopes of direct and indirect emissions.

Scope 1 includes all direct emission sources. This includes all use of fossil fuels for stationary combustion or transportation, in owned and, depending on the consolidation approach selected, leased, or rented assets. It also includes any process emissions, from e.g., chemical processes, industrial gases, direct methane emissions etc.

Scope 2 includes indirect emissions related to purchased energy; electricity and heating/cooling where the organisation has operational control. The electricity emission factors used in CEMAsys are based on national gross electricity production mixes from the International Energy Agency's statistics (IEA Stat).

Emission factors per fuel type are based on assumptions in the IEA methodological framework. Factors for district heating/cooling are either based on actual (local) production mixes, or average IEA statistics.

In January 2015, the GHG Protocol published new guidelines for calculating emissions from electricity consumption. Primarily two methods are used to "allocate" the GHG emissions created by electricity generation to the end consumers of a given grid. These are the location-based and the market-based methods. The location-based method reflects the average emission intensity of the grids on which energy consumption occurs, while the market-based method reflects emissions from electricity that companies have purposefully chosen (or not chosen).

Organisations who report on their GHG emissions will now have to disclose both the location-based emissions from the production of electricity, and the marked-based emissions related to the potential purchase of Guarantees of Origin (GoOs) and Renewable Energy Certificates (RECs).

The purpose of this amendment in the reporting methodology is on the one hand to show the impact of energy efficiency measures, and on the other hand to display how the acquisition of GoOs or RECs affect the GHG emissions. Using both methods in the emission reporting highlights the effect of all measures regarding electricity consumption.

The location-based method: The location-based method is based on statistical emissions information and electricity output aggregated and averaged within a defined geographic boundary and during a defined time period. Within this boundary, the different energy producers utilize a mix of energy resources, where the use of fossil fuels (coal, oil, and gas) result in direct GHG-emissions. These emissions are reflected in the location-based emission factor.

The market-based method: The choice of emission factors when using this method is determined by whether the business acquires GoOs/RECs or not. When selling GoOs or RECs, the supplier certifies that the electricity is produced exclusively by renewable sources, which has an emission factor of 0 grams CO2e per kWh.

However, for electricity without the GoO or REC, the emission factor is based on the remaining electricity

production after all GoOs and RECs for renewable energy are sold. This is called a residual mix, which is normally substantially higher than the location-based factor. As an example, the market-based Norwegian residual mix factor is approximately 7 times higher than the location-based Nordic mix factor. The reason for this high factor is due to Norway's large export of GoOs/RECs to foreign consumers. In a market perspective, this implies that Norwegian hydropower is largely substituted with an electricity mix including fossil fuels.

Scope 3 includes indirect emissions resulting from value chain activities. The scope 3 emissions are a result of the company's upstream and downstream activities, which are not controlled by the company, i.e., they are indirect. Examples are business travel, goods transportation, waste handling, consumption of products etc.

In general, the carbon accounting should include information that users, both internal and external to the company, need for their decision making. An important aspect of relevance is the selection of an appropriate inventory boundary which reflects the substance and economic reality of the company's business relationships.

#### <u>References</u>

<u>Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy (</u>2021). Government emission conversion factors for greenhouse gas company reporting (DEFRA)

IEA (2021). CO2 emission from fuel combustion, International Energy Agency (IEA), Paris. IEA (2019). Electricity information, International Energy Agency (IEA), Paris.

IMO (2021). Reduction of GHG emissions from ships - Third IMO GHG Study 2014 (Final report). International <u>Maritime Organisation, http://www.iadc.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/02/MEPC-67-6-INF3-2014-Final-</u> <u>Report- complete.pdf</u>

IPCC (2014). IPCC fifth assessment report: Climate change 2013 (AR5 updated version November 2014). http://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/

AIB, RE-DISS (2021). Reliable disclosure systems for Europe – Phase 2: European residual mixes.

WBCSD/WRI (2004). The greenhouse gas protocol. A corporate accounting and reporting standard (revised edition). World Business Council on Sustainable Development (WBCSD), Geneva, Switzerland /World Resource Institute (WRI), Washington DC, USA, 116 pp.

WBCSD/WRI (2011). Corporate value chain (Scope 3) accounting and reporting standard: Supplement to the GHG Protocol corporate accounting and reporting standard. World Business Council on Sustainable Development (WBCSD), Geneva, Switzerland /World Resource Institute (WRI), Washington DC, USA, 149 pp.

WBCSD/WRI (2015). GHG protocol Scope 2 guidance: An amendment to the GHG protocol corporate standard. World Business Council on Sustainable Development (WBCSD), Geneva, Switzerland /World Resource Institute (WRI), Washington DC, USA, 117 pp.